Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,955	FLOCKERZI, DIETER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>wed</u>
(1) <u>SARAH PIHONAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>SHELDON MCGEE</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 September 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: 2-6 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed: All		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant's attorney, Sheldon McGee, was contacted regarding a rejection of claims 2-6 and 8 for nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-3 of US Patent No. 7,470,704. A terminal disclaimer was filed on 10/5/2009, which was approved on 10/8/2009. Claims 10-11 were cancelled in a telephone conversation with Sheldon McGee. Claims 2-6 and 8 are allowed.